CRITERIA FOR GRADING ESSAYS

DESCRIPTION

A. THESIS

- 1. You did not provide a thesis.
- 2. You assumed a thesis but did not state it. Or you stated a thesis, but the thesis was vague or too broad.
- 3. You provided an adequate thesis.
- 4. You provided an outstanding thesis that vividly sets up your description.

B. DEVELOPMENT

- 1. You had neither topic sentences nor logical development.
- 2. a. You had topic sentences, but they were not logical.
 - b. You had logical development, but you did not provide topic sentences.
 - c. You had topic sentences, but they did not support your thesis.
 - d. You had topic sentences, but they were too broad.
- 3. You had both topic sentences and logical development. These provide a competent but uninspired framework for your description.
- 4. You had excellent topic sentences and logical development.

C. DETAIL

- 1. a. You provide no details.
 - b. You provide details in an apparently random order.
- 2. a. You provide some details, but they are too general and vague.
 - b. You provide some details, but too many do not belong where you place them.
- 3. You provide details in the proper places and provide a general picture of what you are describing.
- 4. Your details invoke a clear image of what you are describing.

D. WRITING THE ESSAY

- 1. Your essay lacks coherence and cohesion. Transitions are ineffective. The paragraphs read like a list, with no connection between the sentences
- 2. The paragraphs have a general focus, but some sentences are unrelated. Coherence, cohesion, and transitions need work.
- 3. Paragraphs are generally well developed with fewer than three problems of focus, unity, or coherence. Transitions may be a bit forced.
- 4. Paragraphs are focused, unified, and coherent. Transitions are logical and effective.

E. GRAMMAR

1. 10+ errors. 2. 8-9 errors. 3. 4-7 errors. 4. 1-3 errors.

NARRATIVE ESSAY

A. SELECTION OF TOPIC

- 1. You selected a topic that offers no potential for insight into your life or character.
- 2. a. You selected a topic that is so common that it offers little insight into your unique personality.
 - b. You selected a topic that you have no emotional distance from; therefore, you were unable to handle it effectively
- 3. You selected a topic that is generally acceptable.
- 4. You selected an outstanding and interesting topic.

B.PARAMETERS

- 1. a. The parameters for your essay were far too broad, leading you to skim over the events of the story. You tried to tell too much.
 - b. You did not include events crucial to the understanding of the event.
- 2. a. Your parameters were too broad, reducing the amount you could focus on the events.
 - b. You left out elements of the story that would have helped the understanding of the event.
- 3. You used adequate narrative techniques.
- 4. You excellently used narrative techniques such as flashback, flashforward, etc.

C. DETAIL

- 1. a. You provide no detail or dialogue.
 - b. You provide details in an apparently random order.
- 2. a. You provide some details, but they are too general and vague.
 - b. You provide some details, but too many do not belong where you place them.
- 3. You provide detail and dialogue in the proper places and provide a general picture of what you are describing.
- 4. Your detail and dialogue invoke a clear image of what you are describing.

D. WRITING THE ESSAY (Same as above)

- E. GRAMMAR (Same as before except for the number of mistakes permitted)
 - 1. 10+ errors. 2. 8-9 errors. 3. 4-7 errors. 4. 1-3 errors.

PROPOSAL

A. THESIS/PROPOSED ACTION

- 1. You did not provide a proposal.
- 2. a. You assumed a proposal but did not state it.
 - b. You stated a proposal, but it was vague or too broad.
 - c. You stated a general solution, but did not provide your audience with a specific action to take.
 - You provided an audience with a proposal, but there is some question whether your audience an act on it.
 - e. If the audience followed your recommendation, it would not have the desired effect.
- 3. You provided an adequate proposal.
- 4. You provided an outstanding proposal will probably bring about the change you desire.

B. AUDIENCE

- 1. You did not address an audience.
- 2. a. Your audience was too broad (for example, you addressed the "readers of <u>Time</u>).
 - b. You started with one audience, but switched to another one.
- 3. Your audience was fairly well defined.
- 4. Your audience was well defined and you did an excellent job of addressing it.

C. DEVELOPMENT

- 1. You had neither topic sentences nor logical development.
- 2. a. You had topic sentences, but they were not logical.
 - b. You had logical development, but you did not provide topic sentences.
 - c. You had topic sentences, but they did not support your thesis.
 - d. You had topic sentences that did not address the self-interest of your audience.
- 3. You had both topic sentences and logical development. These provide a competent but uninspired framework for your argument.
- 4. You had excellent topic sentences and logical development.

D. SUPPORT

- 1. a. You provide no support
 - b. You provide support in an apparently random order.
- 2. a. You provide some support, but they are too general and vague.
 - b. You provide some support, but too many do not belong where you place them.
 - c. Your support is of the "crank" variety; i.e., it is unreflective and uninformed and simply reflects popular stereotypes on the subject rather than careful research.
- 3. You provide support in the proper places and give a basic case for your argument
- 4. Your support is clear and provides a forceful case for your argument.

E. WRITING THE ESSAY

- 1. Your essay lacks coherence and cohesion. Transitions are ineffective. The paragraphs read like a list, with no connection between the sentences
- 2. The paragraphs have a general focus, but some sentences are unrelated. Coherence, cohesion, and transitions need work.
- 3. Paragraphs are generally well developed with fewer than three problems of focus, unity, or coherence. Transitions may be a bit forced.
- 4. Paragraphs are focused, unified, and coherent. Transitions are logical and effective.

F. GRAMMAR

1. 10+ errors. 2. 9 errors. 3. 4-8 errors. 4. 1-3 errors.